

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN “CLEAN  
DIESEL” MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2672 CRB (JSC)  
The Honorable Charles R. Breyer

This Document Relates to:  
Porsche Gasoline Cases

~~**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS  
SETTLEMENT AND DIRECTION OF  
NOTICE UNDER RULE 23(e)**~~

Before the Court is Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and Direction of Notice under Rule 23(e).

WHEREAS, a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement”) has been reached between Court-appointed Lead Counsel and the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee (“PSC”) on behalf of a proposed Settlement Class of owners and lessees of certain Porsche gasoline vehicles (the “Class Vehicles”) which resolves certain claims against Defendants pertaining to the represented fuel economy and emissions for the Class Vehicles;

WHEREAS, the Court, for the purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement;

WHEREAS, this matter has come before the Court pursuant to Plaintiffs’ Unopposed

1 Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Direction of Notice Under  
2 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) (the “Motion”);

3 WHEREAS, Defendants do not oppose the Court’s entry of the proposed Preliminary  
4 Approval Order;

5 WHEREAS, the Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the Action and each of the Parties  
6 for purposes of Settlement and asserts jurisdiction over the Settlement Class Representatives for  
7 purposes of considering and effectuating this Settlement;

8 WHEREAS, the Court held a Preliminary Approval Hearing on June 29, 2022; and

9 WHEREAS, this Court has presided over and managed these MDL proceedings as  
10 Transferee Judge since the December 8, 2015 Transfer Order from the Judicial Panel on  
11 Multidistrict Litigation (Dkt. 1), including the subset of cases commenced in October 2020 and  
12 styled as the “Porsche Litigation Cases”;

13 WHEREAS, this Court has considered all of the presentations and submissions related to  
14 the Motion as well as the facts, contentions, claims and defenses as they have developed in these  
15 proceedings, and is otherwise fully advised of all relevant facts in connection therewith.

16 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:**

17 **I. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

18 1. The proposed Settlement appears to be the product of intensive, thorough, serious,  
19 informed, and non-collusive negotiations; has no obvious deficiencies; does not improperly grant  
20 preferential treatment to the Settlement Class Representatives or segments of the Class; and  
21 appears to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice of the Settlement should be directed to  
22 the Class Members, and a Final Approval Hearing should be set.

23 2. Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED.

24 **II. THE CLASS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVES, AND CLASS COUNSEL**

25 3. The “Class” or “Settlement Class” means “a nationwide class of all persons  
26 (including individuals and entities) who own, owned, lease, or leased a Class Vehicle.” Settlement  
27 Agreement (“SA”) ¶ 2.8. The Class Vehicles include approximately 500,000 Porsche gasoline  
28 vehicles, model years 2005-2020, as defined in the proposed Settlement Agreement. *Id.* ¶ 2.14.

1           4.       Those excluded from the Class are: (a) Defendants' officers, directors and  
2 employees and participants in the Porsche Associate Lease Program; Defendants' affiliates and  
3 affiliates' officers, directors and employees; Defendants' distributors and distributors' officers,  
4 directors and employees; (b) Judicial officers and their immediate family members and associated  
5 court staff assigned to this case; (c) All individuals who leased a Class Vehicle from a lessor other  
6 than Porsche Financial Services; (d) All individuals who are not Tested Fuel Economy Class  
7 Members, Sport+ Class Members, or Fuel Economy Class Members; and (e) All those otherwise in  
8 the Class who or which timely and properly exclude themselves from the Class as provided in the  
9 Class Action Agreement. SA ¶ 2.8.

10           5.       Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, appointed by the Court in Pretrial Order No. 7, has applied  
11 for appointment as Interim Settlement Class Counsel, and the proposed Settlement Class  
12 Representatives are those named as Plaintiffs in the Amended Consolidated Consumer Class  
13 Action Complaint.

### 14       **III.    PRELIMINARY FINDINGS**

15           6.       The Court is thoroughly familiar with the standards applicable to certification of a  
16 settlement class, and has applied them in several recent settlements in this MDL. *See, e.g., In re*  
17 *Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. MDL 2672 CRB  
18 (JSC), Dkt. 6764 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 04, 2019) (Audi CO<sub>2</sub> cases); *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel"*  
19 *Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. MDL 2672 CRB (JSC), 2018 WL 6198311, at \*1  
20 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 28, 2018) (ADR Settlement); *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales*  
21 *Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. 2672 CRB (JSC), 2017 WL 672820, at \*6 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16,  
22 2017) (Bosch consumer cases); *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod.*  
23 *Liab. Litig.*, No. MDL 2672 CRB (JSC), 2017 WL 672727, at \*12 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2017)  
24 (3.0-liter consumer cases); *In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod.*  
25 *Liab. Litig.*, No. 2672 CRB (JSC), 2016 WL 6091259, at \*6 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2016) (Franchise  
26 dealer cases); *In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No.  
27 2672 CRB (JSC), 2016 WL 4010049, at \*9 (N.D. Cal. July 26, 2016) (2.0-liter consumer cases),  
28

1 *aff'd* 895 F.3d 597, 606–09 (9th Cir. 2018). *See also In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.*, 926  
 2 F.3d 539, 556–67 (9th Cir. 2019) (detailing the standard for certifying a settlement class).

3 7. Applying these standards, the Court finds that it will likely be able to approve, under  
 4 Rule 23(e)(2), the proposed Settlement Class, as defined above, because the Class and its  
 5 representatives likely meet all relevant requirements of Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3).

6 **IV. NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS**

7 8. The Court is also familiar with the evolving methods of class notice, and has  
 8 observed their effectiveness as utilized in previous class settlements in this litigation. As applied  
 9 here, the Court finds that the content, format, and method of disseminating Notice—set forth in the  
 10 Motion, the Declaration of Jennifer Keough on Settlement Notice Plan, and the Settlement  
 11 Agreement and Release—is state of the art and satisfies Rule 23(c)(2) and all contemporary notice  
 12 standards. The Court approves the notice program, and hereby directs that such notice be  
 13 disseminated in the manner set forth in the proposed Settlement Agreement and Declaration of  
 14 Jennifer Keough on Settlement Notice Plan to Class Members under Rule 23(e)(1).

15 **V. SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR DISSEMINATING NOTICE, FILING**  
 16 **CLAIMS, REQUESTING EXCLUSION FROM THE CLASS, FILING**  
 17 **OBJECTIONS TO THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AND FILING THE**  
 18 **MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL**

Date	Event
June 29, 2022	Preliminary Approval granted and Class Notice Program begins
August 26, 2022	Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys' Fees and Expenses filed
September 30, 2022	Objection and Opt-Out Deadline
October 11, 2022	Reply Memoranda in Support of Final Approval and Fee/Expense Application filed
October 21, 2022	Final Approval Hearing

27 at 9:00 a.m.

1 **VI. FINAL APPROVAL HEARING**

2 9. The Final Approval Hearing shall take place on October 21, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. at  
3 the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, United States Courthouse,  
4 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, before the Honorable Charles R.  
5 Breyer, to determine whether the proposed Class Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate,  
6 whether it should be finally approved by the Court, and whether the Released Claims should be  
7 dismissed with prejudice under the Settlement and the Notice Program.

8 **VII. OTHER PROVISIONS**

9 10. Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel is hereby appointed as Interim Settlement Class Counsel  
10 under rule 23(g)(3) ("Interim Class Counsel"). Interim Class Counsel and Defendants are  
11 authorized to take, without further Court approval, all necessary and appropriate steps to implement  
12 the Settlement, including the approved Notice Program.

13 11. The dates and deadlines set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order, including, but  
14 not limited to, the Final Approval Hearing, may be extended by Order of the Court without further  
15 notice to the Class Members, except that notice of any such extensions shall be included on the  
16 Settlement Website. Class Members should check the Settlement Website regularly for updates  
17 and further details regarding extensions of these deadlines. Exclusions and Objections must meet  
18 the deadlines and follow the requirements set forth in the approved notice in order to be valid.

19 12. Interim Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel are hereby authorized to use all  
20 reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement that are not  
21 materially inconsistent with the Preliminary Approval Order or the Class Action Settlement,  
22 including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the Settlement, to the  
23 form or content of the Class Notice, or to any other exhibits that the Parties jointly agree are  
24 reasonable or necessary.

25 13. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator, JND Legal Administration,  
26 through data aggregators or otherwise, to request, obtain and utilize vehicle registration  
27 information from the Department of Motor Vehicles for all 50 states, the District of Columbia,  
28 Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands and all other United States territories and/or

1 possessions for the purposes of identifying the identity of and contact information for purchasers  
2 and lessees of Class Vehicles. Vehicle registration information includes, but is not limited to,  
3 owner/lessee name and address information, registration date, year, make, and model of the  
4 vehicle.

5 14. The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these proceedings for the  
6 benefit of the Class as defined in this Order.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8  
9 DATED: July 8, 2022

  
10 THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28